Carbon and Ecological Footprints: Measuring Human Impact

By Trésor Daniel MEFIRE

Introduction

Human activities have transformed Earth's natural systems at an unprecedented scale, triggering what scientists now call the Anthropocene epoch (Steffen et al., 2018). As climate change accelerates and biodiversity declines, two metrics have emerged as crucial for measuring human environmental impact: the carbon footprint and ecological footprint. These concepts, while related, offer distinct lenses through which to examine humanity's pressure on planetary systems (Galli et al., 2020). Nowhere is this more relevant than in Africa, particularly Cameroon, where development needs must be balanced against environmental constraints in a rapidly changing climate (IPCC, 2022).

Defining the Carbon Footprint

The carbon footprint represents the total greenhouse gas emissions caused directly and indirectly by human activities, expressed in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_2e) (Wiedmann & Minx, 2008). This comprehensive metric includes not only emissions from fossil fuel combustion in energy production and transportation, but also methane from agriculture and emissions embedded in global trade (Peters & Hertwich, 2008). For instance, approximately 22% of global CO_2 emissions now come from goods produced in one country but consumed in another (Davis & Caldeira, 2010). This consumption-based accounting reveals how industrialized nations effectively "outsource" portions of their environmental impact through global supply chains (Kanemoto et al., 2014).

Understanding the Ecological Footprint

Developed by Mathis Wackernagel and William Rees in the 1990s, the ecological footprint measures humanity's demand on nature by calculating the biologically productive area required to sustain current consumption patterns (Wackernagel & Rees, 1998). This includes six key land types: cropland, grazing land, fishing grounds, built-up areas, forest products, and carbon sequestration forests (Borucke et al., 2013). Recent analyses show that carbon emissions from fossil fuels constitute about 60% of humanity's total ecological footprint (Global Footprint Network, 2023). The metric's power lies in its ability to compare human

demand ("**footprint**") with nature's supply ("**biocapacity**"), revealing that humanity currently uses resources 1.7 times faster than ecosystems can regenerate (Lin et *al.*, 2018).

Global Disparities and Environmental Justice

The imbalance extends beyond carbon to overall resource consumption: individuals in North America consume approximately 90 kilograms of resources daily, and Europeans consume around 45 kilograms, starkly contrasting with Africans' mere 10 kilograms per day (Friends of the Earth UK, n.d.). Historically, developed nations, particularly the United States and countries in the European Union, have been the primary drivers of industrial emissions, contributing disproportionately to the cumulative carbon burden (ResearchGate, 2025). Between 1970 and 2017, these high-income countries, despite having only 16% of the world's population, were responsible for a staggering 74% of global excess resource extraction, severely impacting planetary boundaries (Open Access Government, 2022). This historical overconsumption has led to a substantial "ecological debt," where wealthy nations owe African countries vastly more for climate damage than Africa owes them in foreign debt, estimated to be around \$36 trillion (ActionAid, 2025). Despite commitments, climate finance from developed nations often falls short and frequently arrives as loans rather than grants, trapping vulnerable countries in further debt while struggling to adapt (Down To Earth, 2025). This financial mechanism exacerbates a vicious cycle where nations least responsible for the crisis bear the greatest burdens of both climate impact and economic indebtedness (Down To Earth, 2025). Such systemic inequities demand a fundamental re-evaluation of global responsibilities and a just transition towards equitable resource governance (LSE Research Online, n.d.)

Cameroon's Dual Challenge

Cameroon exemplifies the tensions between development and sustainability. The country loses approximately 200,000 hectares of forest annually, an area twice the size of Lagos, primarily to subsistence agriculture and fuelwood collection (FAO, 2022). These forests serve as vital carbon sinks, storing an estimated 6.2 billion tons of CO_2 in the Congo Basin alone (Tyukavina et al., 2022). Urbanization compounds these pressures: Douala's population has grown 40% since 2010, increasing waste generation and energy demand (UN-Habitat, 2023).

However, innovative solutions are emerging, such as community-based forest management through Cameroon's REDD+ program, which has preserved 120,000 hectares while providing alternative livelihoods (Ministry of Environment, 2021). Beyond mere statistics, these environmental shifts translate directly into human suffering and instability. Climate change has profoundly impacted the livelihoods of over 70% of Cameroon's population, who depend on rainfed agriculture, leading to reduced agricultural output and increased food insecurity; for instance, maize yields in the Far North have seen declines exceeding 20% between 1998 and 2012 (IMF, 2024). The nexus between climate change and human displacement is stark, with over a million people internally displaced by March 2023, largely due to climate hazards like floods in the Extreme North (IMF, 2024). This vulnerability is systemic; Cameroon is ranked the 16th most vulnerable country to climate change globally, underscoring the urgent need for robust adaptation strategies (IMF, 2024).

Pathways Forward

Addressing these challenges requires integrated solutions. Renewable energy projects, particularly solar microgrids, could provide clean electricity to the 45% of Cameroonians currently without access (AfDB, 2023). Sustainable agricultural practices like agroforestry have shown potential to increase yields while sequestering carbon (Nkem et *al.*, 2021). Internationally, mechanisms like the Global Biodiversity Framework must be strengthened to ensure wealthy nations support climate adaptation in vulnerable regions (Díaz et al., 2020). As research shows, combining traditional ecological knowledge with modern technology offers particularly promising pathways for African nations (Nyong et *al.*, 2022).

Harnessing Local Resilience and Indigenous Knowledge

Crucially, enhancing resilience in Cameroon and across Africa demands a deeper integration of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK). For millennia, local communities have developed sophisticated adaptation techniques, often passed down orally, utilizing ecological indicators for weather prediction and employing practices like drought-resistant crop varieties and sustainable land management (Matandirotya et *al.*, 2025; HAW-Hamburg, n.d.). Such knowledge systems are invaluable; studies show that combining traditional wisdom with modern technologies significantly boosts climate adaptation effectiveness, making solutions more contextually relevant and locally acceptable (weADAPT, 2024; UN, n.d.). Recognizing and empowering these grassroots efforts is not just equitable, but essential for charting a truly sustainable and resilient future for African nations.

Conclusion

The carbon and ecological footprints reveal uncomfortable truths about humanity's relationship with nature, but also illuminate pathways toward sustainability. For Cameroon and Africa more broadly, the coming decade presents both immense challenges and opportunities to chart a different development course one that meets human needs while respecting planetary boundaries (Rockström et *al.*, 2023). The choices made today will determine whether future generations inherit a world of scarcity or sustainability.

References

- ActionAid. (2025). In Data: How much do rich nations owe Africa for climate damage? Context by TRF. <u>https://www.context.news/climate-justice/in-data-how-much-do-rich-nations-owe-africa-for-climate-damage</u>
- Adelekan, I., et al. (2022). "Climate adaptation in African cities." Nature Climate Change, 12(5), 423-431. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01334-4</u>
- AfDB. (2023). Africa Energy Outlook 2023. African Development Bank.
- Borucke, M., et al. (2013). "Accounting for demand and supply of the biosphere's regenerative capacity: The National Footprint Accounts' underlying methodology and data in 2012." *Ecological Indicators*, 24, 518-533.
- chsd unilag. (2025). Sustainable Development: A Necessity for Africa Now.
 <u>https://chsdunilag.org/sustainable-development-a-necessity-for-africa-now/</u>
- Davis, S.J., & Caldeira, K. (2010). "Consumption-based accounting of CO₂ emissions." PNAS, 107(12), 5687-5692. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906974107</u>
- Díaz, S., et al. (2020). "Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change." *Science*, 369(6501), 168-173.
- Down To Earth. (2025). Wealthy nations owe climate debt to Africa funds that could help cities grow. <u>https://www.downtoearth.org.in/africa/wealthy-nations-owe-</u> climate-debt-to-africa-funds-that-could-help-cities-grow
- FAO. (2022). *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2022*. Food and Agriculture Organization.
- Friends of the Earth UK. (n.d.). Overconsumption? Our use of the world's natural resources.

[https://friendsoftheearth.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/overconsumption.pdf](h ttps://friendsof theearth.uk/sites/default/files/downloads/overconsumption.pdf)

- Galli, A., et al. (2020). "The Ecological Footprint: A tool for resource accounting, global sustainability, and policy change." *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(9), 094002.
- Global Carbon Atlas. (2023). Carbon emissions from fossil fuels and industry. https://www.globalcarbonatlas.org
- Global Footprint Network. (2023). Ecological Footprint Standards 2023.
 https://www.footprintnetwork.org
- Greenly. (2025). International Cooperation: Key to Combating the Climate Crisis. https://greenly.earth/en-gb/blog/ecology-news/international-cooperation-key-to-combating-the-climate-crisis
- HAW-Hamburg. (n.d.). Obstacles to implementing indigenous knowledge in climate change adaptation in Africa. <u>https://www.haw-hamburg.de/fileadmin/LS/FTZ-</u> <u>NK/PDF/Publications/2025-</u> <u>Obstacles to implementing indigenous knowledge in climate change Journal o</u> <u>f_Environmental_Management.pdf</u>
- IMF. (2024). Climate Change in Cameroon: Key Challenges and Reform Priorities. IMF
 eLibrary. https://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/journals/002/2024/052/article-A003-en.xml
- IPCC. (2022). Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press.
- Kanemoto, K., et al. (2014). "International trade undermines the environmental gains from efficient production." *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48(12), 6523-6529.
- Lin, D., et al. (2018). "Ecological Footprint Accounting: A Tool for Measuring Humanity's Demand on Nature." *Nature Sustainability*, 1(1), 18-26.
- LSE Research Online. (n.d.). In defense of historical accountability for greenhouse gas emissions.

http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/18906/1/__Libfile_repository_Content_Neumayer,%20E_In%

20defense%20of%20historical%20accountability%20for%20greenhouse%20gas%2 0emissions_In%20defense%20of%20historical%20accountability%20for%20green house%20gas%20emissions%20(LSE%20RO).pdf

- Matandirotya, N. R., Leal Filho, W., Mahed, G., Dinis, M. A. P., & Mathe, P. (2025). Local knowledge of climate change adaptation strategies from the vhaVenda and baTonga communities living in the Limpopo and Zambezi River Basins, Southern Africa. Taylor
 & Francis Online. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/20442041.2024.2437932
- Ministry of Environment, Cameroon. (2021). REDD+ Implementation Report.
- Molua, E. L., & Lambi, C. M. (2020). "Climate change and agricultural output in Cameroon." *Journal of African Economies*, 29(1), 87-104.
- Nkem, J. N., et al. (2021). "Agroforestry for climate change adaptation and mitigation in Africa." *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 53, 103-110.
- Nyong, A., et al. (2022). "Integrating indigenous knowledge into climate change adaptation strategies in Africa." *Climate and Development*, 14(3), 209-218.
- Open Access Government. (2022). US and EU responsible for 74% of global resource extraction. <u>https://www.openaccessgovernment.org/usa-eu-resource-extraction-global-south-raw-materials-climate-change-co2-emission/133628/</u>
- Peters, G. P., & Hertwich, E. G. (2008). "CO₂ embodied in international trade with implications for global climate policy." *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(5), 1401-1407.
- ResearchGate. (2025). (PDF) Carbon Footprints of Developed vs. Developing Nations. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391809345_Carbon_Footprints_of_Developed_vs_Developing_Nations
- Roberts, J. T., & Parks, B. C. (2009). A Climate of Injustice: Global Inequality, North-South Politics, and Climate Policy. MIT Press.

- Rockström, J., et al. (2023). "A safe and just operating space for humanity." *Nature*, 618(7960), 651-654.
- Steffen, W., et al. (2018). "Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene." PNAS, 115(33), 8252-8259.
- Tyukavina, A., et al. (2022). "Satellite data reveal stable forest area in the Congo Basin (2000–2020)." *Nature Climate Change*, 12(4), 384-388.
- UN. (n.d.). Sustainable Solutions for Climate Change Adaptation in Africa: Combining Indigenous Knowledge and Modern Technology. United Nations. https://sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/2023-05/B38%20-%20Brown%20-%20Assessing%20African%20Led%20Science-Technological%20Innovation%20Approaches%20to%20Climate%20Change%20Ad aptation.pdf
- UN-Habitat. (2023). *World Cities Report 2023*. United Nations Human Settlements Programme.
- Wackernagel, M., & Rees, W. E. (1998). *Our Ecological Footprint: Reducing Human Impact on the Earth*. New Society Publishers.
- weADAPT. (2024). The role of indigenous knowledge in climate change adaptation in Africa. <u>https://weadapt.org/knowledge-base/community-based-adaptation/the-role-of-indigenous-knowledge-in-climate-change-adaptation-in-africa/</u>
- Wiedmann, T., & Minx, J. (2008). "A definition of 'carbon footprint'." *Ecological Economics*, 67(1), 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.12.001</u>
- World Economic Forum. (2025). Why a \$1 billion commitment to fund climate adaptation marks a new era of collaboration in Africa. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/02/1-billion-commitment-to-fund-climateadaptation/